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Vision Transformer (ViT) Implementation for Fruit Quality Assessment

**Model Architecture Overview**

The implemented model is a Vision Transformer (ViT) designed specifically for a fine-grained fruit quality assessment task. The ViT architecture represents a departure from traditional convolutional neural networks (CNNs) by applying a transformer-based approach to image classification.

**Key Components:**

1. **Patch Creation Layer**:
   * Divides input images (224×224×3) into patches of size 8×8
   * Resulting in 784 patches (28×28) per image
2. **Embedding Layers**:
   * Patch Embedding: Projects each patch into a 128-dimensional embedding space
   * Position Embedding: Adds positional information to maintain spatial relationships
3. **Transformer Encoder**:
   * 8 transformer layers with multi-head self-attention
   * Each with 8 attention heads and layer normalization
   * GELU activation function in feed-forward networks
   * Dropout rate of 0.01 for regularization
4. **Classification Head**:
   * Global average pooling to aggregate feature representations
   * MLP with two hidden layers (2048 → 1024 → 7 classes)
   * Softmax activation for final class probabilities

**Model Parameters:**

* Total parameters: 7,772,551 (29.65 MB)
* All parameters are trainable

**Training Configuration**

* **Image Size**: 224×224×3
* **Batch Size**: 32
* **Epochs**: 50 (with early stopping at epoch 43)
* **Optimizer**: AdamW with weight decay (1e-5)
* **Initial Learning Rate**: 1e-4
* **Loss Function**: Sparse Categorical Cross-Entropy
* **Random Seeds**: 42 for reproducibility

**Data Augmentation:**

* Rotation (up to 20°)
* Zoom (±10%)
* Width and height shifts (±10%)
* Shear transformation (10%)
* Horizontal flipping

**Training Strategies:**

* Class weights to handle significant class imbalance
* Learning rate reduction on plateau
* Early stopping with patience of 10 epochs
* Model checkpointing to save best weights

**Dataset Analysis**

The dataset focuses on banana and tomato quality classification with 7 classes:

* banana\_overripe: 1,395 samples
* banana\_ripe: 1,440 samples
* banana\_rotten: 1,987 samples
* banana\_unripe: 1,370 samples
* tomato\_fully\_ripened: 50 samples
* tomato\_green: 334 samples
* tomato\_half\_ripened: 81 samples

**Severe Class Imbalance**:

* Imbalance ratio: 39.74 (largest/smallest class)
* Tomato classes significantly underrepresented
* Class weights applied: from 0.48 for banana\_rotten to 19.02 for tomato\_fully\_ripened

**Training Results and Analysis**

**Performance Metrics:**

* **Final Training Accuracy**: 96.25%
* **Final Validation Accuracy**: 95.39%
* **Best Model**: Saved at epoch 41

**Training Progression:**

1. **Initial Phase** (Epochs 1-4):
   * Rapid improvement from 20.93% to 81.03% training accuracy
   * Validation accuracy reached 88.08% by epoch 4
2. **Mid Training** (Epochs 5-22):
   * Slower but steady improvements
   * Notable performance drop at epoch 21 (validation accuracy: 67.75%)
   * Learning rate reduced to 2e-5 at epoch 22
3. **Fine-tuning** (Epochs 23-43):
   * Two more learning rate reductions (to 4e-6 at epoch 28, 1e-6 at epoch 38)
   * Validation accuracy plateaued around 94-95%
   * Early stopping triggered after 10 epochs without improvement

**Learning Dynamics:**

* The learning rate scheduler effectively managed training progression
* Model showed good resilience to overfitting with validation loss generally tracking training loss
* The temporary performance drop at epoch 21 suggests the model encountered a challenging optimization landscape

**Comparison with Previous Attempts**

1. **Google ViT (Pre-trained on ImageNet)**:
   * Despite leveraging transfer learning, this approach yielded inferior accuracy
   * The specialized architecture in the custom ViT proved more effective for the fruit quality task
   * Pre-trained weights may have been less relevant for the specific fine-grained distinctions required
2. **Data Augmentation to Balance Classes**:
   * Previous attempt to augment underrepresented classes to 2,200 samples each
   * This approach did not yield satisfactory accuracy
   * Current implementation with class weights appears more effective than synthetic oversampling

**Strengths of the Current Model**

1. **Custom ViT Architecture**:
   * Specifically designed for the fruit quality assessment task
   * Appropriate patch size (8×8) captures relevant texture details
2. **Effective Training Strategy**:
   * Class weights better addressed imbalance than synthetic oversampling
   * Learning rate scheduling prevented convergence to poor local minima
   * Early stopping and checkpointing ensured optimal model selection
3. **High Performance**:
   * ~95% validation accuracy shows strong generalization capability
   * Consistent performance across both banana and tomato categories despite imbalance
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The custom Vision Transformer implementation demonstrates excellent performance on the fruit quality assessment task, achieving 95.39% validation accuracy despite significant class imbalance. The model successfully outperformed previous attempts using pre-trained Google ViT and data augmentation approaches. The combination of appropriate architecture design, effective handling of class imbalance through weighting, and careful training strategies contributed to the model's success.